# **JBWere**

## The CIO Express

Issue date: 13 March 2023

Putting Wealth To Work For Generations



Sally Auld Chief Investment Officer

## The CIO Express – Thoughts on recent developments in the US banking sector

### What happened?

On Friday, US regulators (the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation) took control of Silicon Valley Bank (SIVB) and placed it into receivership. This is the largest US bank failure since Washington Mutual in 2008.

### Why did it happen?

SIVB was a bank with very high geographic and customer concentration; it effectively exclusively banked the US West Coast tech sector. This meant it had a very undiversified deposit base; few retail investors, many business deposits, all from the same industry and region.

As the Fed lifted interest rates and funding costs rose, many of the tech start-ups banked by SIVB found it increasingly difficult to obtain funding from traditional sources (such as Venture Capital funds). They started to draw on cash deposits instead. Reports suggest that SIVB lost ~USD20bn in deposits in 2022. This process – deposit drain – accelerated in 2023.

In order to facilitate these deposit withdrawals, SVB had to sell bonds from its "Hold to Maturity" (HTM) portfolio. SIVB held a large amount of bonds because somewhat unusually, it didn't lend very much of its deposit base. Instead, it invested excess deposits in fixed income securities. Unfortunately, many of these bonds were purchased at very low interest rates, exposing the bank to a significant risk of loss if interest rates rose. Somewhat unusually, SIVB appeared not to hedge any of this interest rate risk.

The chart below, from JPMorgan Asset Management, shows the rather unique balance sheet characteristics of SIVB.



Chart 1: Silicon Valley Bank was something of an outlier

Estimated retail deposit share of total deposits

Source: JPMorgan Asset Management.

The problem with selling bonds from the HTM portfolio is that once the bonds are sold and losses realised, the HTM portfolio has to be marked-to-market. Losses on the portfolio reduce the bank's equity capital base. So last week, SIVB announced a USD2.25bn equity raising in order to cover USD1.8bn in losses from the sale of a USD21bn fixed-rate security portfolio. The attempt to raise equity was unsuccessful, and hence regulators took control of the bank.

As an aside, SIVB could "get away" with what most would regard as sub-standard risk management due to a regulatory change in 2018. This change deemed that systematically important banks were those with \$250bn of assets (the prior threshold was \$50bn of assets). This meant SIVB did not have to adhere to a strict regulatory regime around liquidity management, interest rate hedging and capital management. Larger, systematically important banks in the US are subject to a far more rigorous regulatory regime which protects – to an extent – against events such as occurred at SIVB.

### **Observations and Implications**

In many respects, this is not a usual bank failure. Normally, banks fail due to credit issues which negatively impact asset quality (the loans they issued are unable to be repaid). This time, the bank failed due to a combination of **1**) geographic and industry concentration; and **2**) asset and liability mismatch (long duration assets vs. very short duration liabilities).

However, regardless of the cause of the failure, there are some important observations we can make. **First, the root cause of SIVB's failure was the rising cost of capital**. As less money flowed into VC funds (in a rising yield environment there are other attractive risk-adjusted alternatives), funding for tech start-ups became harder to obtain. This prompted the run on deposits at SIVB. In our view, SIVB wasn't the first and is unlikely to be the last casualty of a materially higher cost of capital. The Fed's aggressive tightening cycle has punctured a number of "bublets" since it began (for example crypto-currencies, "YUCs" [young unprofitable companies] etc.) But from a portfolio management perspective, the sustained lift in cost of capital across in the past year has been one reason why we have recommended company specific exposures be limited to those with low levels of leverage and experience in managing revenues and profit through the cycle.

Second, there will be runs on other smaller banks and distress in the tech start-up sector. Depositors in the US have deposit insurance up to USD250k, but many institutions have deposits well beyond that level. These deposits are likely to find their way to larger

systematically important banks, at the expense of smaller regional US banks. Tech companies with uninsured deposits at SIVB (reported to be in the order of 97% of deposits) will experience cash flow issues as a consequence of SIVB's failure. This will, in some (possibly many) instances, render them illiquid and possibly insolvent. However, news early this morning has suggested that US officials are keen to "meet the needs" of all depositors.

Third, Venture Capital (VC) and Private Equity (PE) funds who have invested in the tech start-up sector will find themselves the subject of intense scrutiny as valuations in that sector are called into question. Media reports over the weekend have established that there are VC funds in Australia with investments in companies that have cash deposits at SIVB. Financial markets are fully globalised and we should expect that there will be some regional ramifications.

Fourth, markets will remain in risk-off mode, wary of further headline risk and nervous about movements in front end funding spreads in US dollar markets. That recent developments in the US financial system come just after the Federal Reserve Chair had delivered hawkish comments on the outlook for US interest rates is remarkable and shows how quickly events can impact the outlook. Already, the market has pared back its expectation for the next FOMC meeting (on 23 March) from expecting an 86% chance of a 50bp rate hike to now pricing a 66% chance of a 50bp rate hike. Tuesday's US inflation data will be key.

**Finally**, and despite the challenging macro backdrop at present, we know that for any central bank, **financial stability trumps all**. In this regard, we would expect US regulators to make clear distinctions between current events and those that transpired in 2008. We would also expect any statements from US regulators to focus upon shoring up confidence in US banks and the broader banking system, and to announce a solution to the problem at hand soon. US Treasury Secretary Yellen noted over the weekend that "I've been working all weekend with our banking regulators to design appropriate policies to address this situation."

### Conclusion

At this point, it is hard to know whether recent developments represent a tiny crack or a major fracture in the US financial system and economy. We have penned these comments with the understanding that developments are likely to move quickly and hence the relevance of the above analysis to the near-term outlook for financial markets, economy and monetary policy is likely to be short-lived.

However, we think that there are a number of implications that will sustain regardless of developments in coming days; namely 1) valuation pressures for sectors, companies, and asset classes that are vulnerable to structurally higher interest rates and / or cost of capital;
a shift in the distribution of risks around economic growth in the US to the downside as the ramifications of SIVB's collapse are fully understood; and 3) a potential rethink about the likely duration of the Fed's tightening cycle and balance sheet shrinkage.

Assuming we are correct in our sense of what pressures will continue to bear on the US financial and economic outlook, we remain of the view that defensive positioning is the correct approach to portfolio construction at present, both at an asset allocation level and at an asset class level.

Any advice in this report has been prepared without taking into account your objectives, financial situation or needs. You should consider the appropriateness of the advice in light of your own financial circumstances. Where relevant, offers of units in any of the investment vehicles referenced in this document are made under a product disclosure statement which is available from your JBWere adviser. We recommend that you consider the product disclosure statement in deciding whether to acquire units in relation to any particular product mentioned in this report.

### Prepared by the Investment Strategy Group

### JBWere Offices

Melbourne	T (03) 9906 5000
Sydney	T (02) 9325 2600
Brisbane	T (07) 3258 1111
Adelaide	T (08) 8407 1111
Perth	T (08) 9212 7900
Canberra	T (02) 6218 2000
Email Investment.strategy@bwere.com	

Sally Auld Chief Investment Officer

### Disclaimers and general disclosures

### Important Notice

This report has been prepared by JBWere Limited (JBWere) and comprises general advice only. In preparing it, JBWere did not take into account your investment objectives, financial situation or particular needs. Before acting on any advice contained in this report, you should consider whether the advice is appropriate in light of your financial circumstances or contact your adviser. JBWere recommends that you consider the relevant Product Disclosure Statement or other disclosure document, where relevant, before making investment decisions in relation to any particular product mentioned in this report.

JBWere's advisers and other professionals may provide oral or written market commentary or trading strategies to clients that reflect opinions that are contrary to the opinions expressed in this report, and they may make investment recommendations that are inconsistent with the recommendations or views expressed in this report.

### **Ownership and Material Conflicts of Interest**

JBWere requires all research personnel to disclose to JBWere any material investment position or financial interest in issuers that they review. Research personnel are paid in part based on the profitability of the National Australia Bank Limited group (**NAB Group**), which includes JBWere.

No business units within the NAB Group which provide corporate advisory services, including JBWere's markets division (which provides capital raising services) have any input into determining the budget decisions, bonuses or allocations of resources for any business units within JBWere which produce research. The revenue and results of JBWere's markets division are not taken into account when determining JBWere's research budgets or expenses.

In the last twelve months, JBWere has played a role in transactions for certain entities which may be referred to in this report. For details, go to *Deal Flow Participation*.

JBWere's research analysts may from time to time hold financial products that are the subject of a JBWere research report. The *Managing Conflicts of Interest and Maintaining the Integrity of Research Policy* sets out how JBWere manages these conflicts. Please refer to the *list of financial products currently held by JBWere's research analysts*.

The NAB Group and associates, may have provided, provides or seeks to provide investment banking, capital markets and / or other services, to the issuers and their associates mentioned in this report.

### Our research process

Further information about the methodology applied by JBWere in preparing research reports is available on the JBWere website.

### **General Disclosures**

This report is not an offer to sell or the solicitation of an offer to buy any security in any jurisdiction where such an offer or solicitation would be illegal. The information contained in this report is subject to change without notice. The price and value of the investments referred to in this report and the income from them may fluctuate. Past performance is not a guide to future performance. Future returns are not guaranteed and a loss of original capital may occur.

Certain transactions, including those involving futures, options, and other derivatives, give rise to substantial risk and are not suitable for all investors. In producing research reports, members of JBWere's Investment Strategy Group may attend site visits and other meetings hosted by the entities mentioned in those reports. In some instances the costs of such site visits or meetings may be met in part or in whole by the issuers concerned if JBWere considers it is appropriate and reasonable in the specific circumstances relating to the site visit or meeting.

JBWere's research is disseminated primarily electronically, and, in some cases, in printed form. Electronic research is generally made available simultaneously to all clients.

### **General Disclaimer**

So far as laws and regulatory requirements permit, JBWere, its related companies, associated entities and any officer, employee, agent, adviser or contractor thereof (JBWere Group) does not warrant or represent that the information, recommendations, opinions or conclusions contained in this report (Information) is accurate, reliable, complete or current and it should not be relied on as such. The Information is indicative and prepared for information purposes only and does not purport to contain all matters relevant to any particular investment or financial instrument. Subject to any terms implied by law and which cannot be excluded, the JBWere Group shall not be liable for any errors, omissions, defects or misrepresentations in this report (including by reasons of negligence, negligent misstatement or otherwise) or for any loss or damage (whether direct or indirect) suffered by persons who use or rely on the Information. If any law prohibits the exclusion of such liability, the JBWere Group limits its liability to the re-supply of the Information, provided that such limitation is permitted by law and is fair and reasonable.

### **Research Analyst Disclaimer**

The Information accurately reflects the personal views of the author(s) about the securities, issuers and other subject matters discussed, and is based upon sources reasonably believed to be reliable and accurate. The views of the author(s) do not necessarily reflect the views of JBWere. No part of the compensation of the author(s) was, is, or will be, directly or indirectly, related to any specific recommendations or views expressed in this report.

#### **Other Research Providers**

This report may contain a restatement, summary or extract of a report prepared by UBS Securities Australia Limited (**UBS**) or a related body corporate (**UBS Report**). Please contact your JBWere adviser if you would like a copy of the UBS Report. For information on the ways in which UBS manages conflicts and maintains independence of its research product; historical performance information; and certain additional disclosures concerning UBS research, please visit *www.ubs.com/disclosures.* 

This report has been prepared for distribution only to clients of JBWere (and potentially to clients of other financial advisers). It may not be reproduced or distributed without the consent of JBWere. Please refer to the full details of the important disclosures, available in the **Disclosures section of the JBWere Limited website**.

Issued by JBWere Ltd ABN 68 137 978 360 AFSL 341162.

### **Distribution in New Zealand**

This report has been produced for Australian clients where Australian macro-economic factors have been considered (in addition to global ones). Please be aware of this if reading this report outside of Australia.